

## Abstract

The purpose of this study is to investigate the parents Attitudes toward their deaf sons behavior , the sample of this study consists of 141 parents (73 Mothers and 68 Fathers) from the origion population 200 of parents, who have deaf children learning in Gaza Centers . To test the hypotheses, the researchers used several statistical styles, chi

---

square (goodness of fit test), pearson correlation coefficient, T-test, one-way-Anova, and Scheffee test, the results indicate that :

There exist twenty six positive Attitude Items of parents toward their deaf sons behavior and ten negative attitude items , and fourth identity attitude items are not declared. -1

There exists negative relationship between total negative attitude items of parents toward their deaf sons behavior and their deaf sons achievement. -2

No significant differences in the mean of negative attitude items between mothers and fathers toward their deaf sons behavior. -3

No significant differences in the mean of negative attitude parents toward their deaf sons behavior refer to : family number or finance. -4

141

200

:

-1

.( )

-2

-3

-4

:

1997 - -

---

(1) Groce

0

0

"

" (2) "

---

„ 0(3) „

0 (4)“

0

“

0(5) “

“

(6) “

0

....

0

.

.

:

:

:

:

\_\_\_\_\_ . .

-1

-2

-3

-4

-:

( - - ) \*

( - - ) \*

:

:

-1

0

-2

0

0

-3

0

0

:

0

:

:

0<sup>(7)</sup>

.

:

dB 70-40 dB 40- 25 )

. ( dB 110 - 90 dB 90-70

".

0(8) "

:

-:

<sup>(9)</sup> meadow and Others

-1

20

20)

40

(

0

---

)

(

0

<sup>(10)</sup> Cambra

-2

0

( 222 )

0

<sup>(11)</sup> Stika

-3

1997 - -

---

0

<sup>(12)</sup> Goodman

-4

142

168

0

<sup>(13)</sup> Turnbull

-5

Kansas

0

0

:

:

91

\_\_\_\_\_ . .

-1

( )

( )

0

-2

( )

0 ( )

0

-

:

-1

0

45

-2

0

5

1997

- -

40

-3

( )

( )

( )

0

:

-

46

(1)

(1)

( )

0.766	31	0.710	21	0.690	11	0.644	1
0.682	32	0.676	22	0.761	12	0.650	2
0.629	33	0.679	23	0.683	13	0.732	3
0.706	34	0.659	24	0.624	14	0.725	4
0.727	35	0.735	25	0.694	15	0.733	5
0.722	36	0.608	26	0.757	16	0.732	6
0.630	37	0.641	27	0.625	17	0.802	7
0.584	38	0.695	28	0.728	18	0.710	8
0.765	39	0.660	29	0.724	19	0.656	9
0.738	40	0.774	30	0.746	20	0.678	10

(1)

0.01

0

-

---

0.892

0

-

(141)

(200)

%71

5

(2)

-:

(2)

32	4	3	6	10	4	5	
54	9	6	12	13	6	8	
55	6	10	9	11	12	7	
141	19	19	27	34	22	20	

	<b>38</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>42</b>	
--	-----------	-----------	-----------	--

	:	
	:	-1
141		-2
		-3
	:	-
:		
( )		-1
0 Goodness of fit Test		( )
		0
Pearson		-2
0		
	T- test	-3
0		
One - way - Anova		-4
- - )		
.( )		(
	Scheffee	-5
	:	

(3)

(3)

	2				
	42.05	32	109		1
	72.35	20	121		2
	15.67	94	47		3
	81.20	17	124		4
	15.67	47	94		5
	24.69	41	100		6
	6.82	55	86		7
	7.72	87	54		8

	18.45	96	45		9
	35.75	106	35		10
	31.84	104	37		11
	14.36	93	48		12
	10.79	90	51		13
	0.574	75	66		14
	78.19	123	18		15
	69.51	21	120		16
	85.26	125	16		17
	13.11	92	49		18
	11.92	50	91		19
	0.858	76	65		20

---

	48.86	29	112		21
	44.26	31	110		22
	1.59	63	78		23
	93.79	13	128		24
	2.56	80	61		25
	5.97	56	85		26
	114.39	7	134		27
	37.79	107	34		28
	17,03	95	46		29
	42.05	109	32		30
	5.17	57	84		31

	56.17	26	115		32
	44.26	31	110		33
	107.30	132	9		34
	21.45	43	98		35
	103.84	10	131		36
	75.24	19	122		37
	121.71	5	136		38
	42.05	32	109	/	39
				/	
	33.76	36	105		40

$$3.841 \leq 0.05 \quad 2$$

$$6.635 \leq 0.01 \quad 2$$

$$26 \quad (3)$$

4

---

10

0

(3)

0

(23,25 14,20)

" (14)

" (20)

"

"

<sup>(14)</sup> Linderman

<sup>)</sup> Youngblut

<sup>(15)</sup> Tranchin and Bragg

(16)

" (23)

100

1997 - -

---

"

" (25)

"

0

(39 37 35 33 32 31 26 1,5,19)

" (9)

"

(31)

0

"(32)

"

"

"

" "

"

"

0

---

" (5)

"

" (37)

0

" (39)

"

"

/

/

0

0

" (33)

"

" 0 " (1)  
" " (35)

0

0

:

"

"

0.247-

0.01

0 (4)

(4)

	<b>73 =</b>		<b>68 =</b>		
. 17	. 426	. 767	. 418	. 779	1
0.12-	0.473	0.671	0.477	0.662	5
0.39	0.486	0.630	0.478	0.661	19
0.69	0.498	0.575	0.485	0.632	26
1.20	0.501	0.548	0.481	0.647	31
0.23	0.396	0.808	0.384	0.824	32
0.83-	0.397	0.809	0.436	0.750	33
0.10-	0.462	0.698	0.465	0.691	35
0.41-	0.331	0.877	0.357	0.853	37
0.17	0.426	0.767	0.418	0.779	39
0.31	1.622	6.397	1.791	6.485	

$1.96 \leq 0.05$

1997 - -

---

(4)

---

:

"

-:

( - - )

( - - ) -

( )

( )

-:

:

-

(5-1)

(10-6)

10

0(5)

One - way -Anova

(5)

**0**

* 3 99	0 676 0 169	1 352 23 385	2 138		1
0.851	0.191 0.224	0.382 30.952	2 138		5
* 4 04	0 893 0 221	1 785 30 464	2 138		19

1997 - -

0.145	0.035 0.244	0.71 33.688	2 138		26
1.716	0.412 0.240	0.824 33.133	2 138		31
1.578	0.237 0.150	0.474 20.732	2 138		32
0.226	0.039 0.175	0.079 24.106	2 138		33
2.75	.436 0.210	0.872 29.014	2 138		35
1.051	0.123 0.117	0.246 16.195	2 138		37
0.179	0.040 0.179	0.080 24.658	2 138		39
0.403	1.174 2.916	2.348 402.389	2 138		

0 05 \*

(5)

. 403

4 04 3 99) (19) (1)

0 05 (

:

0 05 -1

" (1)

0 05 -2

" (19)

---

"

.

(19)

: -

(6) ( )

(6)

0

2 241	0 389 0 173	0 778 23 959	2 138		1
2.125	0.468 0.220	0.936 30.397	2 138		5
1.201	0.276 0.230	0.552 31.717	2 138		19
1.197	0.288 0.241	0.576 33.183	2 138		26
0.043	0.011 0.246	0.021 33.936	2 138		31
0.109	0.017 0.153	0.033 21.172	2 138		32

*3.121	0.523 0.168	1.047 23.138	2 138		33
2.465	0.515 0.209	1.031 28.856	2 138		35
0.044	0.005 0.119	0.010 16.429	2 138		37
*3.966	0.673 0.170	1.345 23.393	2 138		39
1.455	4.179 2.872	8.358 396.380	2 138		

0.05

\*

(6)

1 455

" (33)

" (39)

"

"

/

/

(3 966 3 121 )

0 05

:

)

0 05

-1

"

" (33)

1997 - -  
-----  
) " 0 05 / / " (39 -2

.(33)

/ / " (39 "

---

.

:

:

-1

. ..

.

( )

-2

.

-3

1997 - -

---

-4

-5

1- Groce, Nora. Ellen "Everyone here spoke sign language: Hereditary deafness on martha's vineyard" (Cambridge- Massachusetts, harvard university press, 1985)p. 107.

"  
" -2  
\_\_\_\_\_ .13 1978

"  
" -3  
\_\_\_\_\_

.90 1991

"  
" -4  
\_\_\_\_\_

0 161 1996

"  
" -5  
\_\_\_\_\_ :  
0 5 1987

6- Bernard vanleer foundation "The parents as prime educate or changing patterns of parenthood: Summary report and conclusions" Fourth Western Hemisphere seminar with the cooperation of this ministry of education, Republic of peru, Lima, 7 -16 May 1986, p, 11.

7- Mc Neil, E.B and Rubin, Z. "The psychology Being human" second edition (san francisco, cofield press, 1977) p 537.

" \_\_\_\_\_ " -8

0 5 1994

9- Measow, K. P and orlans, B.A and white, S.N "Deaf people as parents of hearing or deaf, Birthor adaptive children" 18th, International Congress on Education of the deaf, Tel- Aviv, Israel, July16 - 20 1995.

10- Cambra, Cristina "Social Perceptions About Hearing Impaired people" 18th International and Congress on Education of the deaf, Tel - Aviv, Israel, July 16 - 20, 1995.

11- Stika, Carren. J "Mental health Needs and services for deaf children and their families: parents and teachers perspectives" 18th International congress and Education of deaf, Tel - Aviv, Israel, July 16 - 20, 1995.

12- Alice, Sarah. H "Attitudes towards parent empowerment in early intervention: the role of parents and professionals" Dissertation Abstracts International, Vol 55, No. 5, November 1994, p 1241 - A.

13- Ellis, Cynthia. L "Dialogue Journal communication between parent and teacher to describe a parent Involvement program" Dissertation Abstracts International, vol. 55, No 5, November 1994,P 1234 - A .

14- Linderman, Albert "Oppression, Culture of poverty and deaf people" Deafness life and culture, vol, 44, 1994, pp 75 - 79.

15- Tranchin, Rob and Bragg, Bernard "Correspondence between a hearing father and a deaf adult" Deafness life and culrure, Vol. 144, 1994,pp117 - 120.

16- Youngblut, Diame. F and poers, Gerald "Deaf culture courses opinions of deaf hearing instructors" Deafness life and culture, vol 144, 1994, pp 127 - 129.